

# Testimony on behalf of the Hawai'i State Commission on the Status of Women **Khara Jabola-Carolus, Executive Director**

Prepared for the Senate Committee on Judiciary

Support of SB723
Thursday, February 14, 2019, at 9:30 a.m. in Room 016

Dear Chair Rhoads, Vice Chair Wakai, and Honorable Members,

The Hawai'i State Commission on the Status of Women supports SB723, which would allow parties to a case brought under the Uniform Parentage Act to petition the court to consolidate multiple cases, motions, or petitions involving siblings with the same parents into a single case or add siblings with the same parents to a case, motion, or petition, without having to file a new case, motion, or petition. This measure would also require the Judiciary to post the titles of all filings and all minutes in paternity cases to the Judiciary's website after redacting any information in which an individual has a significant privacy interest. This measure is a necessary step to remedy system inefficiencies and duplications, while also making the important information from the process more easily accessible to public.

Accordingly, the Commission asks that the Committee pass this measure.

Mahalo,

Khara Jabola-Carolus





# The Judiciary, State of Hawai'i

## **Testimony to the Senate Committee on Judiciary**

Senator Karl Rhoads, Chair Senator Glenn Wakai, Vice Chair

Thursday, February 14, 2019, 9:30 am State Capitol, Conference Room 016

By

Christine E. Kuriyama Deputy Chief Judge, Senior Family Judge Family Court of the First Circuit

Bill No. and Title: Senate Bill No. 723, Relating to the Uniform Parentage Act

**Purpose:** Allows parties to a case brought under the Uniform Parentage Act to petition the court to consolidate multiple cases, motions, or petitions involving siblings with the same parents into a single case or add siblings with the same parents to a case, motion, or petition, without having to file a new case, motion, or petition. Requires the Judiciary to post the titles of all filings and all minutes in paternity cases to the Judiciary's website after redacting any information in which an individual has a significant privacy interest.

## **Judiciary's Position:**

The Judiciary appreciates the intent of this bill to streamline family court processes and make them accessible where appropriate to do so, and respectfully offers the following comments and concerns with the current draft of the bill.

1. Rather than addressing this issue in isolation by carving out an exception to the confidentiality provision, perhaps further investigation and discussion should occur more broadly in the context of all pertinent portions of the Uniform Parentage Act (2017). As this committee is aware, HRS Chapter 584 was adopted based upon the Uniform Parentage Act (1973).



Senate Bill No. 723, Relating to the Uniform Parentage Act Senate Committee on Judiciary Thursday, February 14, 2019, 9:30 am Page 2

The legislature deemed paternity cases confidential and it has the authority to reverse its policy if such a change is warranted. The primary issue with this particular bill is that the public will gain access to paternity case information that HRS § 584-20 seeks to protect.

2. **Section 1** (**Consolidation of Cases**): Although limited to paternity cases involving the same parents the bill requires an automatic consolidation of cases, motions, or petitions based upon a party's "request". Due Process concerns arise due to the fact that the term "request" is not defined and it appears that the bill contemplates no formal filing, notice or the opportunity to be heard on said request.

Currently, a party may move the court to consolidate paternity cases under Rule 42 of the Hawaii Family Court Rules. Such a motion would be filed and served on all parties to the case. Thereafter, the court would hold a hearing on the motion to give the parties an opportunity to be heard and provide a decision as to whether said cases should be consolidated.

3. **Section 2** (**Amending HRS § 584-20**): The bill appears to mandate the posting on the Judiciary's website the "titles of all court filings and the minutes of court proceedings in cases..." for paternity cases filed after the effective date. This requirement is inconsistent with the confidentiality protections that HRS §584-20 provides. In order to list the title of all documents under a "case", the parties to the case will need to be identified in order to search by first and last name. With regard to the court's minutes, even if the safeguards provided under HRS § 92F-14 are observed, the public will have access to learn the names of the parties to the case, the issue(s) before the court, and the outcome of the hearing. Again, the proposed amendments would be inconsistent with the confidentiality protections.

In addition to the effect on cases that were once confidential, there are costs associated with the implementation of providing online access to cases which would be over and above the Judiciary's current budget. Additional funds must be allocated to pay for the inputting of new cases and changes to the Hoʻohiki website program.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.



#### ON THE FOLLOWING MEASURE:

S.B. NO. 723, RELATING TO UNIFORM PARENTAGE ACT.



## **BEFORE THE:**

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

**DATE:** Thursday, February 14, 2019 **TIME:** 9:30 a.m.

**LOCATION:** State Capitol, Room 016

**TESTIFIER(S):** Clare E. Connors, Attorney General, or

Lynn K. Kashiwabara, Deputy Attorney General

Chair Rhoads and Members of the Committee:

The Department of the Attorney General supports the intent of the bill and provides the following comments.

The purpose of the bill is to allow parties to a case brought under the Uniform Parentage Act, chapter 584, Hawaii Revised Statutes(HRS), to petition the court to consolidate multiple cases, motions, or petitions involving siblings with the same parents into a single case or to add siblings with the same parents to a case, motion, or petition, without having to file a new case, motion, or petition. The bill also requires the Judiciary to post the titles of all filings and all minutes in paternity cases to the Judiciary's website after redacting any information in which an individual has a significant privacy interest.

The bill's proposed changes to add a new subsection to section 584-20, HRS, would create an inconsistency within this section. The proposed new subsection (c) provides on page 2, lines 20-21, and page 3, lines 1-3:

The judiciary shall post on its website the <u>titles of all court filings</u> and the <u>minutes of court proceedings</u> in cases brought under this chapter; provided that the judiciary shall redact information in which an individual has a significant privacy interest under section 92F-14.

(Emphases added).

First, the proposed posting of court minutes in these paternity cases would conflict with the requirements under subsection (a) of section 584-20, HRS, which

provides in part that "any hearing or trial held under this chapter shall be held in <u>closed</u> <u>court</u> without the admittance of any person other than those persons necessary to the action or proceeding." (Emphasis added). To avoid any inconsistency, the Department recommends deleting "and the minutes of court proceedings" on page 2, line 21.

Second, the proposed posting of "the titles of all court filings" in paternity cases would conflict with requirements under subsection (b) of section 584-20, HRS, which provides in part that "the confidentiality requirement shall continue to apply to any references to a <u>non-adjudicated</u> alleged or presumed father." (Emphasis added). The caption of all documents from the filing of the complaint to all subsequent filings up to the Judgment of Paternity includes the non-adjudicated father's names. Prior to the Judgment, father's names either alleged or presumed would be considered "non-adjudicated." A father would be considered adjudicated once Judgment has been entered. To avoid any inconsistency, the Department recommends deleting "all court filings" on page 2, line 21, and replace with "judgment."

Furthermore, this bill proposes to add a new section to chapter 584 to allow the court to consolidate multiple cases, motions, or petitions involving siblings with the same parents into a single case or to add siblings with the same parents to a case, motion, or petition, without having to file a new case, motion, or petition. Pursuant to 584-\_\_ subsection (a), HRS, a party may request consolidation "without any requirement for filing a new case, motion, or petition." Subsection (b) of the bill states: "The court shall combine cases, motions, and petitions as necessary for the purpose of this section." (Emphasis added). There may be possible due process concerns if the court is compelled to combine paternity cases, motions, and petitions and parties have not received notice or have no opportunity to respond to the request. To address this concern, the Department recommends that the word "shall" on page 1, line 14, be replaced with "may" and the phrase "without any requirement for filing a new case, motion, or petition" on page 1, lines 12-13, be deleted.

The Department respectfully requests that the recommended changes be accepted.

<u>SB-723</u> Submitted on: 2/13/2019 8:16:47 AM

Testimony for JDC on 2/14/2019 9:30:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Melodie Aduja	Testifying for O`ahu County Committee on Legislative Priorities of the Democratic Party of Hawai`i	Support	No

Comments:





Thomas D. Farrell
Certified Specialist in Family Law
tom@farrell-hawaii.com
Anthony A. Perrault
tony@farrell-hawaii.com
J. Alberto Montalbano
juan@farrell-hawaii.com
Leslie Ching Allen
leslie@farrell-hawaii.com
Kainani Collins Alvarez
kainani@farrell-hawaii.com

#### TESTIMONY OF THOMAS D. FARRELL

Regarding SB 723, Relating to the Uniform Parentage Act
Committee on Judiciary
Senator Karl Rhoads, Chair/Senator Glen Wakai, Vice Chair
Thursday, February 14, 2019 9:30 a.m.
Conference Room 016, State Capitol

Good morning Senator Rhoads and Members of the Committee:

I support the second part of Senate Bill 673, although I do have some concerns and recommendations for amendment. I don't support the first part, and recommend that it be deleted.

The first part of the bill may be misunderstood as <u>requiring</u> CSEA to include all siblings with the same parents in a single paternity lawsuit, and as <u>requiring</u> CSEA to add any additional siblings who are born after the lawsuit is filed but before it is concluded. It doesn't require anything. As written, language applies to any party, not just CSEA and it is permissive, not mandatory. Perhaps the concern was that you can't do this without statutory authorization, but that's not the state of the law. We put multiple kids on petitions all the time, and the existing petition form (which I have attached) is clearly designed for that. The bit about afterborn children is probably not necessary either, as the Family Court rules already deal with amended pleadings. Ditto with consolidation of separate cases, when appropriate. Granted, this bill would allow these things to occur without the filing of a motion or a new petition, but I don't think that would pass muster under the Due Process Clause of the Constitution. If you want a court to do something, you have to ask. Indeed, anytime a party in litigation asks a court to do something, that's called a motion. You can't ask a court to do something without filing a petition or motion. And if you file a motion, the other side gets notice and an opportunity to be heard before the court rules on it. So, I respectfully suggest that the first part of the bill be left on the cutting room floor.

The second part of the bill would put at least <u>some</u> information about paternity cases on the judiciary's website, *Hoohiki*. That's a great idea!

Every day, I do initial consultations with prospective clients---either in private practice or in a legal services scenario such as Volunteer Legal Services Hawaii. Whenever there is an ongoing case, my first mission is to figure out what is going on in that case. Often times, the clients are abysmally unequipped to assist. They don't have the pleadings, they may have gone to hearings but don't really understand what happened, and they have zero knowledge of procedure. With

Divorce ♦ Paternity ♦ Custody ♦ Child Support ♦ TROs ♦ Arbitration also handling national security cases involving revocation or denial of security clearances

700 Bishop Street, Suite 2000, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.535.8468 \* Fax 808.585.9568 \* on the web at: www.farrell-hawaii.com Testimony of Thomas D. Farrell on SB 723 February 14, 2019 page 2

*Hoohiki*, you can search cases by name. If there is a case in which my client is named a party, you can then see three things that are useful. First, you get to see who the other parties to the case are and who their attorneys are. Second, you can see a chronological list of all documents filed. You only see them by title and date; you can't see the actual documents. Third, you can also see a list of all hearings and the minutes. That tells you which judge did what, when she did so and who was there. If I can see the limited information on Hoohiki, I can usually figure out what has happened, where the case stands, and what has to be done.

Divorce and TRO cases are public record and they are on *Hoohiki*. Unfortunately, paternity cases aren't on *Hoohiki*. They're ghosts. That's because paternity cases are non-public. This is probably a relic from the days when it was considered shameful to be an unwed mother or to be named as the father of a so-called "bastard" child. Besides being rather cruel and antiquated, that rationale doesn't make a lot of sense to me because about half of all children born in Hawaii are now born to unwed parents. There doesn't seem to be much social stigma attached to that, nor should there be.

For what it's worth, the whole business of what is and is not confidential in Family Court is a contradictory and convoluted mess, and I don't think we can solve it in this bill or in this session. Generally, I'm in favor of open courts---it keeps the system honest. Secret court proceedings make me think of the Star Chamber. On the other hand, most people don't want their private family matters open to public scrutiny.

That said, I would recommend that the redaction requirement <u>not</u> refer to §92F-14, HAW. REV. STAT. Chapter 92F does not apply to the non-administrative functions of the courts, and paternity litigation squarely falls within that exception. So, I would replace the phrase "the judiciary shall redact information in which an individual has a significant privacy interest under section 92F-14," and substitute the phrase "the judiciary shall redact information which is made confidential by any statute, rule of court, or court order."

The bottom line on SB 723 is that there isn't a good reason <u>not</u> to treat paternity cases like divorce cases when it comes to *Hoohiki*. But it begs the larger question of where and how we draw the line between open courts and personal privacy. Frankly, we haven't done a very consistent or rational job of it to date, and perhaps the Family Court and the bar can collaborate on this subject and come up with a more comprehensive solution for your future consideration.

# IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

# STATE OF HAWAII

[	CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, STATE OF HAWALI,	FC-P No. PETITION FOR PATERNITY or FOR CUSTODY, VISITATION, and SUPPORT ORDERS AFTER VOLUNTARY
]	MOTHER [ ] FATHER [ ] CARETAKER PETITIONER,	ESTABLISHMENT OF PATERNI TY
г	v.	Attachments:  [ ] Attachment for Information on Additional Children
L	MOTHER [ ] CARETAKER	Description of Child(ren) Description of Chi
[	]MOTHER [ ]FATHER [ ]CARETAKER	) [ ] Hawai`i Paternity Action Information Sheet
[	and CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT	Proposed Parenting Plan
	AGENCY, STATE OF HAWAII,	) [ ] Summons
		Other:
	PETITION FOR P FOR CUSTODY, VISITATION AFTER VOLUNTARY ESTABL	N, and SUPPORT ORDERS
	I,(Your Nar	, (hereinafter
	(Tour Ivan	ne)
	Petitioner), a resident of the City and County of Hono	olulu, State of Hawai`i, seek to establish paternity
	or obtain a custody, visitation, and support order after	voluntary establishment of paternity, and request
	other relief pursuant to Hawai'i Revised Statutes chap	pters 346, 571, 576D, and 584. Upon information
	and belief, Petitioner alleges the following:	

Petition for Paternity or for Custody, Visitation, and Support Orders After Voluntary Establishment of Paternity 1F-P-997

# 1. <u>CHILD(REN)</u>: (NOTE: EACH CHILD NAMED IN THIS PETITION SHOULD HAVE THE SAME MOTHER AND NATURAL FATHER. IF YOU ARE SEEKING TO ESTABLISH PATERNITY FOR CHILDREN WITH DIFFERENT NATURAL FATHERS, A SEPARATE PETITION SHOULD BE FILED FOR EACH NATURAL FATHER.)

The child(ren) involved in this case is/are alive and has/have not been adopted or emancipated. Information regarding the child(ren) is as follows:

1A.	Child's Full Name:
	☐ Male ☐ Female Birthdate: Birth Place:
	Child's Current Address:
	School and Grade:
	Is CPS Involved? ☐ Yes ☐ No
1 D	
IB.	Child's Full Name:
	☐ Male ☐ Female Birthdate: Birth Place:
	Child's Current Address:
	School and Grade:
	Is CPS Involved? ☐ Yes ☐ No
1C.	Child's Full Name:
	☐ Male ☐ Female Birthdate: Birth Place:
	Child's Current Address:
	School and Grade:
	Is CPS Involved? ☐ Yes ☐ No
1D.	Child's Full Name:
	☐ Male ☐ Female Birthdate: Birth Place:
	Child's Current Address:
	School and Grade:  Is CPS Involved? ☐ Yes ☐ No
1E.	Child's Full Name:
	☐ Male ☐ Female Birthdate: Birth Place:
	Child's Current Address:
	School and Grade:
	Is CPS Involved? ☐ Yes ☐ No

PLACES WHERE AND PEOPLE WITH WHOM THE CHILD(REN) HAS/HAVE LIVED WITHIN THE LAST FIVE (5) YEARS AND DATES:

CHILD LIVED WITH

FROM

TO

	ADDRESS	CHILD LIVED WITH		
THI	E PARTIES TO THIS ACTION A	<b>RE:</b> (Check all that apply)		
	MOTHER:	(ensur un unu uppry)		
	Name:			
	Also Known As:			
	Date of Birth:			
	Gross Monthly Income: \$			
	Resident of: City:			
—1	•			
_J 2B.	THE FOLLOWING PERSON'S NAME APPEARS AS FATHER ON THE CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIFICATE (For children born July 1, 1999 and after.			
] 2B.		FICATE (For children born Jul	y 1, 1999 and	l after.
_J 2B.		·		
⊒J 2B.	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF			
_J 2B.	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF			
_J 2B.	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF  Name:  Also Known As:	Social Security Number:		
_J 2B.	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF  Name:  Also Known As:  Date of Birth:	Social Security Number: Employer:		
_J 2B.	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF  Name:  Also Known As:  Date of Birth:  Gross Monthly Income: \$	Social Security Number: Employer: St	ate:	
_J 2B.	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIFE  Name:  Also Known As:  Date of Birth:  Gross Monthly Income: \$  Resident of: City:  [ ] Mother and Father signed a Vol	Social Security Number: Employer: St	ate:ernity at:	
	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIFE  Name:  Also Known As:  Date of Birth:  Gross Monthly Income: \$  Resident of: City:  [ ] Mother and Father signed a Vol	Social Security Number: Employer: St  duntary Acknowledgment of Pate gave birth.	ernity at: Department of WITH MOD AND	of Health 10THEI IS THI
	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF  Name:	Social Security Number: Employer: St  luntary Acknowledgment of Pate gave birth.	ernity at: Department of S WITH MOD AND of July 1, 1999	of Health 10THEI IS THI and/or n
	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIFE  Name:	Social Security Number: Employer: St  duntary Acknowledgment of Pate gave birth.	ate: ernity at: Department of S WITH MOD AND of July 1, 1999	of Health IOTHEI IS THI
	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF  Name:  Also Known As:  Date of Birth:  Gross Monthly Income: \$	Social Security Number: Employer: St  luntary Acknowledgment of Pate gave birth.	ernity at: Department of S WITH MOD AND of July 1, 1999	of Health IOTHE IS TH
	CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH CERTIF  Name:  Also Known As:  Date of Birth:  Gross Monthly Income: \$  Resident of: City:  The Hospital where Mother are the Hospit	Social Security Number: Employer: St  luntary Acknowledgment of Pate gave birth.	ate:ernity at: Department of S WITH MOD AND of July 1, 1999	of Health.  IOTHEI IS THI  and/or n

	] 2D.	MARRIED TO MOTHER AND MOTHER ARE DIV	SON IS THE LEGAL ONLY FATHER AS HE WAS AT THE TIME OF THE CHILD(REN)'S BIRTH or HE ORCED, HOWEVER, THE CHILD(REN) WAS/WERE S OF SAID DIVORCE. HE IS NOT THE NATURAL D(REN).
		Name:	
		Also Known As:	
		Date of Birth:	Social Security Number:
		Gross Monthly Income: \$	Employer:
		Resident of: City:	State:
		Mother and Legal Father were	e married on (date):
			e divorced on (date):
			CES (CPS)
	A	Also Known As:	Birth Date:
	R	Resident of: City:	State:
4.	ma	de payments which resulted fro	rtment of Human Services (DHS) and/or either parent may have om or were incident to the Mother's pregnancy, the birth of the d treatment of the child(ren). The payments may create a debt HS and/or either parent.
5.	<u>PA</u>	ST GOVERNMENT BENE	FITS (WELFARE/QUEST/FOOD STAMPS):
[]	] 5A.		government benefits for the child(ren) and the payments create DHS by Father or Mother pursuant to the law.
	] 5B.	The child(ren) has/have not n	received government benefits in the past.
6.			FOR THE CHILD(REN): The child(ren) are currently check all that apply and include monthly amounts)
		AFDC/TANF \$	SSI/SSDI \$
		Food Stamps \$	\$
		None of the child(ren) named	in this <i>Petition</i> are receiving government benefits at this time.

7.	<u>MEI</u>	MEDICAL HEALTH/DENTAL INSURANCE: The child(ren) are currently covered under:			
	□Н	☐ HMSA ☐ Kaiser ☐ Quest ☐ AlohaCare ☐ Other:			
	paid	by Mother Father	Legal Father ☐ State of Hawai`i ☐		
8.	invo		INGS: My participation as a party in other legal proceedings s) and/or Child(ren) is as follows: Information Sheet		
[ ] 8A	8A.	Case Name:			
		Case Number:	Location of Court:		
		Date Filed:	Date Concluded:		
		Type of Case:			
[_]	8B.	Case Name:			
		Case Number:	Location of Court:		
		Date Filed:	Date Concluded:		
		Type of Case:			
		☐ See attached page for ad	lditional cases.		
[  ]	8C.	C. I am not now, nor have I been in the past, a party to any other legal proceeding involving an of the Defendants and/or Child(ren).			
9.	ОТН	HER CHILD SUPPORT E	NFORCEMENT AGENCY PROCEEDINGS:		
[  ]	9A.	There is a current child support order for the following named child(ren):			
		Case Number:	The child support amount is \$per month		
		paid by Mother Fath	er to Mother Father Caretaker:		
		This child support obligation was determined on (date)			
		by [ ] Hawai`i Family Co			
			rative Order by: hild Support Hearings (Kapolei) ort Enforcement Agency (Kapolei)		
		[ ]			
	9B.		istrative child support hearing at the Office of Child Support		
[ <sup>7</sup>			is scheduled for (date)		
	9C.	There is/are no child support	ort obligation/order for the child/any of the children.		

PET	CITIONER BELIEVES THAT IT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILD(REN)
	THE COURT ENTER THE FOLLOWING ORDERS:
□] 1.	PATERNITY:
	be adjudged the legal and natural father of the child(ren).
□] 2.	<b>LEGAL CUSTODY</b> of the child(ren) be awarded to:
	☐ MOTHER ☐ FATHER ☐ MOTHER AND FATHER, JOINTLY
	□ CARETAKER:
	□ OTHER:
	☐ The issue of legal custody should be reserved.
[_] 3.	PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the child(ren) be awarded to:
	☐ MOTHER ☐ FATHER ☐ MOTHER AND FATHER, JOINTLY
	☐ CARETAKER:
	□ OTHER:
	☐ The issue of physical custody should be reserved.
[_] 4.	<u>VISITATION</u> of the child(ren) be awarded to:
	☐ MOTHER ☐ FATHER subject to the following schedule:
	(include days and times)
	reasonable visitation, as mutually agreed upon by the parties.
	☐ to be decided upon by the Court.
	no visitation until further order of the Family Court because:

[ <b>□</b> ] 5.	<u>CHILD SUPPORT</u> for the child(ren) to be paid ☐ MOTHER ☐ FATHER. Child
	support for each child should continue uninterrupted (including summers and vacations) as long as each child continues his/her education post high school on a full-time basis at an
	accredited college, university, vocational, or technical school, or until each child attains the
	age of 23 years, whichever event occurs first or as ordered by the Court.
□ 5A	. Child support should be determined by the Child Support Guidelines Worksheet.
□ 5B.	Child support should be \$ per child per month for a total of \$
	per month, based upon the Child Support Guidelines Worksheet.
□ 5C	Child support should be reserved as the parties and the child(ren) are an intact family.
☐ 5D	. Child support should be reserved for a court of competent jurisdiction.
[_] 6.	MEDICAL/HEALTH/DENTAL INSURANCE for the child(ren) should be provided by:
	□ MOTHER □ FATHER.
[□] 7.	<b>BIRTH EXPENSES</b> of the child(ren) to be as follows:
	☐ MOTHER ☐ FATHER be ordered to pay for all expenses resulting from or incident
	the pregnancy, birth of the child(ren), and postnatal care and treatment of the child(ren), in
	the amounts proven to the Court.
	DHS may be entitled to reimbursement for birth expenses.
[   ] 8.	PAST SUPPORT of the child(ren) to be as follows:
	☐ The non-custodial parent should be ordered to reimburse the custodial parent and DHS, if appropriate, for the past support of the child(ren).
	$\square$ The non-custodial parent(s) should be ordered to pay for the support, maintenance, and
	education of the child(ren) from
	☐ Custodial parent is requesting past child support of \$ which is based
	on
9.	OTHER RELIEF
	☑ The Court grant other relief as may be appropriate and equitable under the provisions
	of Chapters 346, 571, 576D, and 584 of the Hawai'i Revised Statutes.

### **CERTIFICATION:**

I hereby declare under the	penalty of law that the foregoing is true and correct.
DATED:	
	(Your Signature)
	(Print Your Name)



In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended, and other applicable state and federal laws, if you require accommodation for a disability, please contact the ADA Coordinator at the First Circuit Family Court office by telephone at 954-8200, fax 954-8308, or via email at adarequest@courts.hawaii.gov at least ten (10) days prior to your hearing or appointment date.

Please call the Family Court Service Center at 954-8290 if you have any questions about forms or procedures.